

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview & Summary Information

Date Investment First Submitted: 2009-06-30
Date of Last Change to Activities: 2012-08-01
Investment Auto Submission Date: 2012-02-29
Date of Last Investment Detail Update: 2012-02-29
Date of Last Exhibit 300A Update: 2012-08-01
Date of Last Revision: 2012-08-01

Agency: 005 - Department of Agriculture **Bureau:** 47 - Risk Management Agency

Investment Part Code: 01

Investment Category: 00 - Agency Investments

1. Name of this Investment: RMA-02 Corporate Insurance Information Systems (CIIS)

2. Unique Investment Identifier (Ull): 005-000000067

Section B: Investment Detail

- Provide a brief summary of the investment, including a brief description of the related benefit to the mission delivery and management support areas, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment. Include an explanation of any dependencies between this investment and other investments.**

The Corporate Insurance Information System (CIIS), investment has been approved for the current fiscal year as a steady state investment. This investment performs three mission critical functions. The AFS (Actuarial Filing System) establishes the risk management products sold and serviced via industry partners. The DAS (Data Acceptance System) acts as the interface between the Agency and its private industry partners transferring data, governs checks and balances between RMA and private technology systems to provide reasonable assurance of quality and reliability of data, and acts as the entry point for all downstream investment/system processing for the Agency. The investment also includes a MIS (management information system) that includes reporting tools and interfaces for use by the public, partners, interested parties (agricultural groups, agri-business, schools and universities, etc.) and RMA. Processing under EITA will begin with Reinsurance Year 2011. RMA's legacy systems will process all active Reinsurance Years through 2010. Although Reinsurance Year 2010 runs from 7/01/2009 - 6/30/2010, RMA's 2010 Reinsurance Year processing cycle begins with Actuarial Filing (RMA-02) in October 2008. It includes processing records sent by Approved Insurance Providers (RMA-01 and RMA-02), production of monthly Accounting and Reinsurance Reports (RMA-01 and RMA-02), and processing of Escrow payments for each Approved Insurance Provider (RMA-01). Processing will not be complete until 3 years after Annual Settlement (2/2014). At that time shutdown will begin for

systems under this investment. The legacy systems will need to remain on the Sun computers until historical data is incorporated into the new systems on the SQL Server databases being developed under EITA (RMA-13, ITM). Until RMA-13 Emerging Information Technology Architecture (EITA) is fully operational, this investment will continue to perform critical functions unique to the success of the crop insurance program and serve as the heart and soul of program delivery, integrity, and general support to over a million program beneficiaries. Continued operation of CIIS is required until systems housed in this investment are re-engineered, as this investment is the corporate system of record for RMA.

2. How does this investment close in part or in whole any identified performance gap in support of the mission delivery and management support areas? Include an assessment of the program impact if this investment isn't fully funded.

This investment is the cornerstone of business processing for the delivery of the crop insurance program. It performs three mission critical functions. The AFS (Actuarial Filing System) establishes the risk management products sold and serviced via industry partners. The DAS (Data Acceptance System) acts as the interface between the Agency and its private industry partners transferring data, governs checks and balances between RMA and private technology systems to provide reasonable assurance of quality and reliability of data, acts as the entry point for all downstream investment/system processing for the Agency. The investment also includes an MIS (management information system) that includes reporting tools and interfaces for use by the public, partners, interested parties (agricultural groups, agri-business, schools and universities, etc.) and RMA. At its initial implementation, CIIS increased efficiency, reduced cost, and provided enhanced functionality and performance in comparison to the older systems it replaced. Over CIIS operational life through the present, there has been increased insurance liability, increased crop and acreage coverage, and enhanced financial stability for farmers, while maintaining approximately flat maintenance costs, thus achieving reduced unit cost and increasing efficiency over its life. The RMA IRM Review Board (IRMRB) made a decision regarding which of the three alternatives to use for the legacy systems under the CIIS Program. The basis of the selection was to address a number of factors including the relative age of this investment and the systems associated with it and the need to address new business requirements and more stringent IT audit and accounting standards. Alternative 3 uses the CIIS Project approach and consists of the following: *Alternative 3: Implement the ITM project. Develop a comprehensive approach that addresses all issues raised in the ILS documents: current Business Practices Assessment Report (November 15, 2001), Best Practices and Supporting Emerging Information Technologies Report (November 15, 2001), 5-Year Information Technology and Change Management Plan (April 3, 2002). Develop comprehensive enterprise architecture and fully OCIO (policy) compliant systems under this investment. Address all CPIC, Cyber Security, and Audit deficiencies within this large-scale reengineering project (24-36 months). This approach closely follows the proposals in the ILS studies.

3. Provide a list of this investment's accomplishments in the prior year (PY), including projects or useful components/project segments completed, new functionality added, or operational efficiency achieved.

DAS - During FY2011 the DAS application implemented the Common Land Unit Record and brought up a new reinsurance year. Processing under the Data Acceptance System (DAS) was replaced by the Policy Acceptance and Storage System (PASS) in FY2011, which was

developed under the EITA investment (RMA-13). CIIS is in Steady State, so it is not adding components or functionality. The applications associated with this investment will be replaced during the reengineering effort under EITA.

4. Provide a list of planned accomplishments for current year (CY) and budget year (BY).

CIIS is in Steady State, so no enhancements are planned for the CY or BY.

5. Provide the date of the Charter establishing the required Integrated Program Team (IPT) for this investment. An IPT must always include, but is not limited to: a qualified fully-dedicated IT program manager, a contract specialist, an information technology specialist, a security specialist and a business process owner before OMB will approve this program investment budget. IT Program Manager, Business Process Owner and Contract Specialist must be Government Employees.

1997-10-01

Section C: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.C.1 Summary of Funding

	PY-1 & Prior	PY 2011	CY 2012	BY 2013
Planning Costs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Excluding Planning) Costs:	\$18.1	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Including Planning) Govt. FTEs:	\$4.9	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
Sub-Total DME (Including Govt. FTE):	\$23.0	0	0	0
O & M Costs:	\$41.4	\$6.2	\$2.3	\$2.3
O & M Govt. FTEs:	\$8.9	\$0.5	\$0.5	\$0.2
Sub-Total O & M Costs (Including Govt. FTE):	\$50.3	\$6.7	\$2.8	\$2.5
Total Cost (Including Govt. FTE):	\$73.3	\$6.7	\$2.8	\$2.5
Total Govt. FTE costs:	\$13.8	\$0.5	\$0.5	\$0.2
# of FTE rep by costs:	103	4	2	2
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (\$)		\$2.2	\$0.5	
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (%)		48.90%	21.70%	

2. If the funding levels have changed from the FY 2012 President's Budget request for PY or CY, briefly explain those changes:

No change. There is a one percent increase projected for the budget year (55K). This increase is due to a slight bump in the amount of labor hours needed to facilitate parallel processing crosschecking and reconciliation while transitioning to the replacement systems being engineered under RMA Investment 13.

Section D: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

Table I.D.1 Contracts and Acquisition Strategy

Contract Type	EVM Required	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	IDV Agency ID	Solicitation ID	Ultimate Contract Value (\$M)	Type	PBSA ?	Effective Date	Actual or Expected End Date
Awarded	4732	GST0011AJ0019	GS00Q09BGD0048	4735							

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

EVM is required for all efforts; there is not currently any DM&E underway in this investment.

Exhibit 300B: Performance Measurement Report

Section A: General Information

Date of Last Change to Activities: 2012-08-01

Section B: Project Execution Data

Table II.B.1 Projects					
Project ID	Project Name	Project Description	Project Start Date	Project Completion Date	Project Lifecycle Cost (\$M)
CIIS-04	CIIS Hosting Maintenance and Operations	O&M. Includes AFS, DAS and CRS.			

Activity Summary								
Roll-up of Information Provided in Lowest Level Child Activities								
Project ID	Name	Total Cost of Project Activities (\$M)	End Point Schedule Variance (in days)	End Point Schedule Variance (%)	Cost Variance (\$M)	Cost Variance (%)	Total Planned Cost (\$M)	Count of Activities
CIIS-04	CIIS Hosting Maintenance and Operations							

Key Deliverables								
Project Name	Activity Name	Description	Planned Completion Date	Projected Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Duration (in days)	Schedule Variance (in days)	Schedule Variance (%)
NONE								

Section C: Operational Data

Table II.C.1 Performance Metrics

Metric Description	Unit of Measure	FEA Performance Measurement Category Mapping	Measurement Condition	Baseline	Target for PY	Actual for PY	Target for CY	Reporting Frequency
No critical events identified by OIG, GAO, or other oversight bodies.	Report	Mission and Business Results - Support Delivery of Services	Over target	100.000000	100.000000	100.000000	100.000000	Semi-Annual
Program changes and errors corrected in a timely manner to limit manual changes to accounting reports.	Percent	Process and Activities - Quality	Over target	90.000000	95.000000	95.000000	95.000000	Monthly
The crop insurance participation rate for the ten staple crops.	Percent	Technology - Information and Data	Over target	83.700000	80.500000	83.900000	83.700000	Monthly
Incident tickets are resolved in a timely manner.	Percent	Customer Results - Service Quality	Over target	96.000000	96.000000	98.000000	96.000000	Monthly
Provide reasonable assurance of quality and reliability of data from private industry partners.	Percent	Technology - Quality Assurance	Over target	52.000000	52.000000	52.000000	52.000000	Monthly